Uterine Development in Turner Syndrome

VLADIMIR K. BAKALOV, MD, THOMAS SHAWKER, MD, IRENE CENICEROS, BS, AND CAROLYN A. BONDY, MD

Objective To evaluate uterine development of women with Turner syndrome (TS) receiving conventional medical care.

Study design In a cross-sectional study we used ultrasonography for uterine evaluation in 86 women with TS 18 to 45 years of age participating in an intramural NIH study, and who had abnormal karyotypes in >70% of white blood cells. Outcomes were uterine dimensions and shape. Information on hormone treatment was obtained by personal interview.

Results Twenty-five percent had a mature in size and shape uterus, and 31% had an immature uterus, with the remainder in a transitional category. Twenty percent of all participants were not taking hormone replacement therapy (HRT) in the preceding year. The majority on treatment were taking conjugated estrogens (CE) or oral contraceptives (OC). Factors associated with uterine maturity were history of spontaneous puberty and duration and type of HRT, with estradiol-based treatment being the most effective. The age at starting HRT was not a critical factor.

Conclusions Women with TS may develop a normal uterus even at a late start of HRT given adequate duration of treatment and regardless of karyotype. (*J Pediatr 2007;151:528-31*)

urner syndrome (TS) is defined as deficiency of all or part of the second sex chromosome in phenotypic females and is relatively common, affecting approximately 1 in 2500 female births. Premature ovarian failure affects nearly 95% of girls and women with this disorder, who usually require exogenous estrogen treatment to induce puberty and maintain feminization and bone health throughout the adult years. Concerns about the timing of pubertal induction have related mostly to optimization of statural growth in response to pharmacological growth hormone therapy (GH Rx) because a delay in the age of estrogenization allows a longer period of longitudinal bone growth. However, several European studies have suggested that conventional pubertal induction does not produce optimal development of the uterus in TS. 5-7

It is not clear from these studies whether impaired uterine development was because of delayed estrogen treatment, too low dosage, or perhaps the use of progestins with androgenic properties. There does not seem to be any inherent defect in uterine capacity in TS because development is normal in girls with TS with spontaneous puberty.^{5,8} At this time we do not have complete information on the requirements for normal uterine growth. It is not known whether there is a "window" of developmental time during childhood or adolescence when uterine growth and maturation must occur to achieve sufficient maturity for pregnancy, or whether uterine development can be induced at a later age with sufficiently high, or sufficiently long, estrogen treatment. In the present study, we addressed the pattern and type of ovarian hormone replacement and karyotype as factors involved in uterine development in adult women 18 to 45 years of age with TS.

METHODS

Study Subjects

In a cross-sectional study conducted between January 2002 and June 2006, we evaluated the uterine development of 86 women with TS, 18 to 45 years of age, who were participants in an ongoing comprehensive intramural natural history study on TS. They were recruited through NIH Web site ads. The study was NICHD Institutional Review Board approved, and all participants signed informed consents. To qualify for the study the participants had to have a karyotype by G-banding consistent with TS in more than 70% of 50 white blood cells.

AP	Anterior-posterior	HRT	Hormone replacement therapy
BSA	Body surface area	OC	Oral contraceptives
CE GH Rx	Conjugated estrogens Growth hormone therapy	TS	Turner syndrome

From the Developmental Endocrinology Branch, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (V.B., I.C., C.B.); and the Diagnostic Radiology Department, Clinical Center (T.S.), National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

Supported by the intramural research program of NICHD, NIH.

Submitted for publication Dec 29, 2006; last revision received Mar 13, 2007; accepted Apr 17, 2007.

Reprint requests: Vladimir K. Bakalov, MD, DEB, NICHD, 10 Center Drive, CRC I-3330, Bethesda, MD 20892-II03. E-mail: bakalov@mail.nih.gov.

0022-3476/\$ - see front matter

Copyright © 2007 Mosby Inc. All rights reserved.

10.1016/j.jpeds.2007.04.031

METHODS

Evaluation included imaging of the uterus and gonads by transabdominal ultrasonography in 68 and by transvaginal ultrasonography in 20 of the participants. High-resolution gray-scale sonography was performed on an Accuson Sequoia scanner (Accuson, Mountain View, CA) or on an ATL scanner (Advanced Technology Laboratories, Bothwell, WA) using a multifrequency transducer (5-8 MHz) for the transvaginal scans and a multifrequency transducer (3-5 MHz) for the transabdominal scans. We measured the longitudinal uterine diameter, the anterior-posterior (AP) fundal segment (upper), and the AP cervical uterine segment (lower), all in a sagittal plane, and the maximal transverse uterine diameter in a transverse plane.

Uterine development was evaluated in terms of size by the uterine length and uterine volume and in terms of shape by calculation of the upper to lower uterine ratio. We used size and shape normative data⁶ to characterize uterine maturity in the following way: mature uterus: length \geq 6.5 cm and upper/lower segment ratio \geq 1.10; transitional uterus: length 5.0 to 6.4 cm and ratio \geq 1.10 or length \geq 6.5 cm but ratio \leq 1.10; and immature uterus: length \leq 5.0 cm or length 5.0 to 6.4 cm but ratio \leq 1.10. The uterine volume was calculated by the formula: Vol (mL) = Length (cm) \times Transverse diameter (cm) \times AP fundal diameter (cm) \times 0.5233.⁹

Each study participant filled out a questionnaire and had a detailed interview regarding pubertal development, age at initiation of hormone replacement therapy (HRT), type of estrogen used, years of estrogen use, and history of GH Rx. We calculated the years of estrogen use by adding the time intervals during which the subject was taking various estrogencontaining medications. In case of spontaneous menarche, we added the time interval from the menarche to development of amenorrhea or start of estrogen-containing medication.

Results are presented as mean values with standard deviation for continuous variables and as numbers and percent for nominal variables. We explored the contribution of the following independent variables to uterine size and maturity: age, height, body surface area (BSA), age at estrogen exposure, years of estrogen use, GH Rx, current HRT use, type of estrogen, and history of spontaneous menarche. To study the individual effect of the above variables on the uterine volume we used either simple liner regression (when the independent variables were continuous) or analysis of variance post hoc Bonferroni test (when the independent variables were nominal). We used a multiple ordinal logistic regression model to study the effect of a combination of continuous and nominal independent variables on uterine maturity (ordinal variable). A Statistical Package for the Social Sciences statistical program (StatView and JMP, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used.

RESULTS

Study Subjects

The mean age of the study population was 31.8 ± 7.3 years, range 18 to 45. The majority participants were Cauca-

Table I. Karyotype distribution

Karyotype	n	%
45,X in 100% of WBC	49	57.0%
45,X/46,XX or 45,X/46,XX/47,XXX*	6	7.0%
46,Xi(Xq) or 45,X/46,Xi(Xq)	17	19.8%
46,Xdel(Xp) or 45,X/46,Xdel(Xp)	4	4.6%
46,Xdel(Xq) or 45,X/46,Xdel(Xq)	3	3.5%
45,X/46,Xr(X)	4	4.6%
Other: 45,X/46,X & another type of abnormal X chromosome	3	3.5%

WBC, white blood cells.

sian (93.1%). Other racial/ethnic groups included Asian, Hispanic and African-American, each 2.3%.

Most study subjects (93%) had karyotypes consistent with TS in 100% of the peripheral white blood cells (Table I).

Only 6 of 86 subjects (7%) had mosaicism for cells with normal female karyotype (46,XX). However, these constituted only 2% to 14% of the karyotyped cells. None of the subjects had cells containing a Y chromosome (intact or abnormal). Thus our study includes only women with TS who have either none or only very minimal mosaicism for cells with normal karyotypes.

Ovarian Hormone Replacement Therapy

Fifteen percent (13/86) had experienced spontaneous menarche at an average age of 12.2 ± 1.7 years, and by their late teens they had developed amenorrhea and had started estrogen replacement. All other subjects (73/86) had not had spontaneous puberty and had started taking estrogen at an average age of 15.7 ± 4.1 years. Thirty percent (26/86) had been treated with growth hormone.

Eighty percent of the participants had been prescribed HRT at the time of study (Table II; available at www.jpeds. com). The majority (32%) were taking either oral conjugated estrogens (CE) or oral contraceptives (OC; 31%). Relatively few (12%) were using transdermal or oral estradiol. In addition to estrogen, most were taking a progestin, the preferred progestin being either medroxyprogesterone or one of the three most common components of the oral contraceptives (norethindrone, levonor/desogestrel, or norgestimate). One fifth of the participants were not taking HRT, the reasons being concern about side effects, experience of discomfort, or financial constraints.

Uterine Size and Maturity

Approximately one quarter of the women with TS (21/86; 24.4%) had fully developed in size and shape uterus (Table III). Most (38/86; 44.2%) had somewhat smaller in size uterus (transitional) and almost one third had an immature "cylindrical shaped" uterus (27/86; 31.4%). Women who had developed mature uteri were as likely to have "pure" 45.X karyotype as were women with immature uteri (Table III).

^{*}Karyotype 46,XX was found in only 2% to 14% of the peripheral WBC.

Table III. Immature vs mature uterus in Turner syndrome

Measure	Immature uterus (n = 27)	Mature uterus (n = 21)
Length (cm) ± SD	5.0 ± 0.9	7.2 ± 0.7
(range)	(2.8-6.4)	(6.5-8.1)
AP (cm) \pm SD	1.7 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 0.5
(range)	(0.7-4.8)	(1.5-3.8)
Transverse (cm) ± SD	2.8 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 0.9
(range)	(1.8-4.0)	(1.5-5.0)
Volume (mL) \pm SD	12.8 ± 7.2	35.2 ± 12.3
(range)	(3.1-35.2)	(17.2-69.6)
Uterine ratio \pm SD	1.08 ± 0.16	1.44 ± 0.21
(range)	(0.93-1.78)	(1.12-1.85)
Age (years) ± SD	28.9 ± 6.6	34.8 ± 6.8
45,X karyotype in 100% of the cells (n, %)	14/27 (52%)	12/21 (57%)
Spontaneous puberty (n, %)	1/27 (3.7%)	5/21 (24%)
Currently taking HRT (n, %)	19/27 (70%)	19/21 (90%)

Factors Influencing Uterine Maturity

In separate regression analyses uterine size (volume) was influenced significantly by age, years of estrogen use, current use of HRT, history of spontaneous menarche, and type of estrogen medication (Table IV). Notably, women who were taking OC had uterine size similar to that of those who were not currently taking HRT, although those who were taking estradiol-based hormone replacement had significantly larger uteri (Table IV). There was no correlation between the age at first exposure to estrogens and the size of the uterus. In addition, none of the measures of body size (height, weight, and BSA) were correlated to the size of the uterus.

We explored the combined influence of several independent variables (age at first exposure to estrogens, years of estrogen use, type of HRT, and history of spontaneous menarche) on the degree of uterine maturity in an ordinal multiple logistic regression analysis. The uterine maturity was expressed as an ordinal dependent variable in the following way: immature uterus = 0, transitional uterus = 1, mature uterus = 2. We did not include age in the model because it was strongly correlated to years of estrogen use (r = 0.59, P < .00001). The overall χ^2 of the model was 17.5 with a P value of .0077. The degree of uterine maturity was positively associated with years of estrogen use ($\chi^2 = 4.0$, P = .045), estradiol-based HRT ($\chi^2 = 4.1$, P = .044), and with history of spontaneous menarche ($\chi^2 = 5.3$, P = .021), and it was negatively associated with the lack of current HRT ($\chi^2 = 4.3$, P = .038). The age at first exposure to estrogen, again, had no influence over the uterine maturity ($\chi^2 = 0.15$, P = .701).

DISCUSSION

This study shows that women with TS treated with oral or transdermal estradiol or oral CE, in combination with oral medroxyprogesterone or micronized progesterone for several

Table IV. Variables influencing uterine volume

Continuous independent variables			
	R ²	F value	P
Age	0.24	5.08	.027
Height	0.008	0.68	.411
Weight	0.017	1.46	.230
BSA	0.016	1.40	.240
Age at estrogen exposure	0.022	1.85	.177
Years of estrogen exposure	0.161	15.94	.0001

Nominal independent variables			
	Level	Volume (mL) Mean ± SD	P
History of GH use	Yes (n = 26) No (n = 60)	18.3 ± 12.0 22.8 ± 12.3	.066
Current HRT	Yes (n = 69) No (n = 17)	23.0 ± 12.6 15.0 \pm 8.6	.0019
Spontaneous menarche	Yes (n = 13) No (n = 73)	30.0 ± 16.9 19.9 ± 10.7	.014
Type of estrogen	E2 (n = 10)	28.0 ± 10.0	E2 vs None, $P = .0015$
	CE (n = 28)	25.0 ± 14.6	E2 vs OC, $P = .045$
	OC (n = 27)	19.7 ± 11.0	CE vs None, $P = .0032$
	None (n = 20)	15.4 ± 8.6	

BSA, body surface area; E2, estradiol; CE, conjugated estrogens; OC, oral contraceptives.

years, may attain a normal, mature uterine size and configuration. The age of pubertal induction was not critical. Stature and history of GH Rx did not impact the degree of uterine development. Karyotype was not a contributing factor, and 45,X women did have normal uterine development given adequate treatment (Table IV). A recent study from Germany found that only 45,X/46,XX mosaic females developed normal uterine proportions, although none with 45,X did.⁷ In fact, karyotype was the only significant predictor of normal uterine development in this study, with age at estrogen initiation and age at start of cyclic progestin or duration of estrogen showing no correlation to the uterine development.⁷ The different findings in our study (12/21 or 57% of the subjects with mature uteri had 45,X karyotype) may be explained by the longer average duration of estrogen treatment in our subjects. The mere currency of HRT is not enough in itself to guarantee a normal uterine size if it has not been administered long enough—as illustrated in Table III, the majority of the women with TS who had immature uterus (70%) had been taking HRT at the time of the study.

The optimal age for pubertal initiation and the safest and most effective protocol for pubertal development and maintenance HRT in girls and young women with premature ovarian failure are important issues that lack strong, evidence-based support at present. According to some studies, age at pubertal induction was an important factor in achieving a normal uterine size. ^{5,6,8} In previous years, expert opinion recommended pubertal induction with low-dose estrogen

treatment beginning between 12 and 15 years of age, with gradual increases in dose until feminization is adequate, and the addition of a cyclic progestin on a regular basis after 12-24 months. The average age of initiation in our group of community-treated patients was rather late, at almost 16 years of age. This may be because of a trend in recent years to delay the start of estrogen treatment to promote additional statural growth under GH Rx. 11 Our study suggests that age at estrogen initiation is not critical to adequate uterine development.

Previous studies have found that the dose of estrogen is an important contributor to uterine size and maturity. ^{8,9} Our study indicates that, in addition, the type of HRT may play an important role. The real test of adequate uterine development is successful pregnancy, and although initial reports on assisted reproduction outcomes in TS did suggest a potential uterine problem, ¹² a recent review of women with TS participating in oocyte donation programs in the US found that of 146 women treated, 101 (69%) became pregnant; 94 of these pregnancies resulted in the birth of a live baby, for a miscarriage rate of only 6.4%. 13 This important observation indicates that given adequate hormonal treatment, women with TS may develop a uterus able to sustain a term pregnancy. Although the uterus may sustain a pregnancy, the cardiovascular system of the mother with TS may not, 13 so the paramount concern in considering pregnancy must be the mother's risk factors for pregnancy complications.14

Our study has certain limitations. As with every cross-sectional study, it may have unsuspected bias. Unexplained remained the fact that when compared with historic reference data, women with TS in our study had smaller uterine volumes even when they fulfilled the criteria for uterine maturity. ^{6,9,15} In addition, the small number of women taking transdermal estrogen therapy (n = 5, Table II) did not allow valid conclusions regarding the effect of different modes of estrogen administration, that is, oral versus transdermal. Future studies are needed to compare uterine size and maturity of girls with TS who have had the currently recommended "optimal" pubertal induction and HRT with a group of age-

matched girls with normal karyotypes and normal pubertal development.

REFERENCES

- 1. Nielsen J, Wohlert M. Chromosome abnormalities found among 34,910 newborn children: results from a 13-year incidence study in Arhus, Denmark. Hum Genet 1991;87:81-3.
- 2. Hanton L, Axelrod L, Bakalov V, Bondy CA. The importance of estrogen replacement in young women with Turner syndrome. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2003:12:971-7.
- **3.** Kiess W, Conway G, Ritzen M, Rosenfield R, Bernasconi S, Juul A, et al. Induction of puberty in the hypogonadal girl–practices and attitudes of pediatric endocrinologists in Europe. Hormone Research 2002;57:66-71.
- 4. Atte KM, Chernausek CS, Frane J, Rosenfeld RG. Growth hormone use in Turner syndrome: a preliminary report on the effect of early vs delayed estrogen. In: Albertsson-Wikland K RM, ed. *Turner Syndrome in a Life-span Perspective*. New York: Elsevier: 1995:175-81.
- 5. Paterson WF, Hollman AS, Donaldson MD. Poor uterine development in Turner syndrome with oral oestrogen therapy. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2002;56:359-65.
- Snajderova M, Mardesic T, Lebl J, Gerzova H, Teslik L, Zapletalova J. The uterine length in women with Turner syndrome reflects the postmenarcheal daily estrogen dose. Horm Res 2003;60:198-204.
- Doerr HG, Bettendorf M, Hauffa BP, Mehls O, Partsch C-J, Said E, et al. Uterine size in women with Turner syndrome after induction of puberty with estrogens and long-term growth hormone therapy: results of the German IGLU Follow-up Study 2001. Hum Reprod 2005;20:1418-21.
- 8. McDonnell CM, Coleman L, Zacharin MR. A 3-year prospective study to assess uterine growth in girls with Turner's syndrome by pelvic ultrasound. Clin Endocrinol 2003;58:446-50.
- 9. Porcu E, Venturoli S, Fabbri R, Orsini LF, Sganga E, Brondelli L, et al. Uterine development and endocrine relationships after menarche. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1989;161:174-7.
- **10.** Saenger P, Wikland KA, Conway GS, Davenport M, Gravholt CH, Hintz R, et al. Recommendations for the diagnosis and management of Turner syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2001;86:3061-9.
- 11. Chernausek SD, Attie KM, Cara JF, Rosenfeld RG, Frane J. Growth hormone therapy of Turner syndrome: the impact of age of estrogen replacement on final height. Genentech, Inc., Collaborative Study Group. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000;85:2439-245.
- 12. Foudila T, Soderstrom-Anttila V, Hovatta O. Turner's syndrome and pregnancies after oocyte donation. Hum Reprod 1999;14:532-5.
- 13. Karnis MF, Zimon AE, Lalwani SI, Timmreck LS, Klipstein S, Reindollar RH. Risk of death in pregnancy achieved through oocyte donation in patients with Turner syndrome: a national survey. Fertility Sterility 2003;80:498-501.
- **14.** Bondy CA, for the The Turner Syndrome Consensus Study G. Care of girls and women with Turner syndrome: a guideline of the Turner Syndrome Study Group. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007;92:10–25.
- **15.** Wiinberg N, Hoegholm A, Christensen HR, Bang LE, Mikkelsen KL, Nielsen PE, et al. 24-h ambulatory blood pressure in 352 normal Danish subjects, related to age and gender. Am J Hypertens 1995;8:978-86.

Table II. Patterns of hormone replacement regimens used by women with TS in the US

Medication/dose	n	%
Estrogen		
Ethinyl estradiol	29	33.7%
≤20 mcg	8	
25-30 mcg	8	
≥35 mcg	13	
Conjugated estrogens	28	32.6%
≤0.3 mg	0	
0.625-0.9 mg	21	
≥1.25 mg	7	
Estradiol	10	11.6%
Oral estradiol	5	
Transdermal estradiol	5	
Other	2	2.3%
Progestins		
Medroxyprogesterone	26	30.2%
Continuous	9	
Intermittent	17	
Micronized progesterone	5	5.8%
Continuous	2	
Intermittent	3	
Norethindrone	12	13.9%
Norgestimate	9	10.5%
Nor-/desogestrel	10	11.6%
Other	2	2.3%
Unopposed estrogens	5	5.8%
Oral contraceptives	27	31.4%
Total number taking some form of HRT	69	80.2%
No current HRT	17	19.8%